



## Evaluating Socioeconomic and Geographic Disparities in Access to General Surgery: Strategies for Improved Equity and Outcomes

<sup>1</sup>Dr Sarmud Latif Awan, <sup>2</sup>Ali Raza, <sup>3</sup>Mohib Ali

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor Department of General Surgery AJK Medical College Muzaffarabad.

<sup>2</sup>PIMS, Islamabad.

<sup>3</sup>PIMS, Islamabad.

### ABSTRACT:

#### Background:

Socioeconomic and geographic disparities significantly influence access to healthcare services, including general surgery. These disparities can lead to uneven health outcomes and inequities in care delivery. Addressing these issues is crucial for improving health equity and ensuring optimal outcomes for all patients.

**Aim:** This study aimed to evaluate the extent of socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery and to identify effective strategies for improving equity and outcomes in surgical care.

**Methods:** A prospective observational study was conducted from December 2022 to December 2023, involving a study population of 120 individuals who required general surgery. Participants were selected from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds and various geographic locations. Data were collected through patient surveys, medical records, and interviews with healthcare providers. Statistical analysis was performed to identify disparities in access to surgical care based on socioeconomic status and geographic location. Additionally, potential strategies for mitigating these disparities were evaluated through a review of existing literature and expert consultations.

**Results:** The study found significant disparities in access to general surgery based on both socioeconomic and geographic factors. Patients from lower socioeconomic backgrounds and rural areas faced longer wait times, reduced access to specialized surgical care, and higher rates of postoperative complications compared to their urban and higher-income counterparts. Strategies identified for improving equity included implementing telemedicine consultations, increasing funding for rural healthcare facilities, and developing targeted outreach programs to educate underserved communities about available surgical services.

**Conclusion:** The study highlighted critical disparities in access to general surgery due to socioeconomic and geographic factors. Addressing these disparities requires a multifaceted approach, including policy changes, increased resource allocation, and innovative healthcare delivery models. Implementing the identified strategies can lead to improved equity in surgical care and better health outcomes for disadvantaged populations.

**Keywords:** Socioeconomic disparities, geographic disparities, access to surgery, health equity, general surgery, rural healthcare, telemedicine, healthcare outcomes.

### INTRODUCTION:





Access to healthcare is a fundamental right that ensures individuals receive timely and appropriate medical services, regardless of their socioeconomic status or geographical location [1]. However, disparities in access to healthcare services, particularly in specialized fields such as general surgery, have persisted, posing significant challenges to achieving equitable health outcomes [2]. Understanding and addressing these disparities is crucial for promoting health equity and improving overall population health. Socioeconomic and geographic factors play pivotal roles in determining access to general surgery services. Historically, individuals from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds have faced barriers to accessing healthcare due to various factors such as financial constraints, lack of health insurance coverage, and limited education [3]. Moreover, geographic disparities, including rural-urban divides and regional variations in healthcare infrastructure, further exacerbate the unequal distribution of surgical services. These disparities can result in delayed diagnosis and treatment, increased morbidity and mortality rates, and diminished quality of life for affected individuals [4].

Assessing the extent of socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery is essential for developing targeted interventions to address these inequalities. Research studies have consistently demonstrated disparities in surgical care utilization based on income, education level, race, and ethnicity [5]. For example, individuals with lower socioeconomic status are less likely to undergo surgical procedures compared to their wealthier counterparts, highlighting the impact of financial barriers on healthcare access. Similarly, residents of rural areas often encounter challenges in accessing surgical care due to limited availability of healthcare facilities and long travel distances to reach specialized surgical centers [6].

Addressing socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery requires a multifaceted approach that encompasses policy interventions, healthcare system reforms, and community-based initiatives [7]. Policy measures aimed at expanding health insurance coverage, particularly for vulnerable populations, can enhance financial access to surgical services and reduce disparities based on socioeconomic status. Additionally, investments in healthcare infrastructure, including the establishment of rural surgical centers and telemedicine services, can improve geographical access to surgical care in underserved areas [8].

Furthermore, promoting diversity and cultural competency within the healthcare workforce is critical for addressing disparities related to race, ethnicity, and language barriers [9]. Recruiting and retaining a diverse pool of healthcare professionals, including surgeons, nurses, and allied health workers, can help bridge the gap in access to culturally sensitive care and improve health outcomes among marginalized communities [9].

Community engagement and empowerment are essential components of efforts to address socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery [10]. Collaborating with community organizations, local leaders, and patient advocacy groups can help identify specific barriers to healthcare access and develop tailored interventions to overcome these challenges [11]. Moreover, raising awareness about the importance of preventive care, early intervention, and adherence to treatment recommendations can empower individuals to take charge of their health and seek timely surgical services when needed [12].

Evaluating socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery is crucial for promoting health equity and improving outcomes for all individuals [13]. By implementing targeted strategies that address financial, geographical, and cultural barriers to surgical care, policymakers, healthcare providers,





and community stakeholders can work together to ensure equitable access to high-quality surgical services for everyone, regardless of their background or location [14]. Ultimately, achieving health equity in surgical care requires a concerted effort to dismantle systemic barriers and foster a healthcare system that prioritizes fairness, inclusivity, and justice for all [15].

## **METHODOLOGY:**

### **Study Design and Duration**

This study was a retrospective cohort analysis conducted over a 14-month period from December 2022 to December 2023. The primary objective was to evaluate socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery and identify strategies for improving equity and outcomes.

### **Study Population:**

The study population comprised 120 patients who underwent general surgical procedures within the specified study period. Participants were selected from four hospitals located in diverse geographic regions, ensuring a representative sample from both urban and rural areas. The hospitals included two urban tertiary care centers and two rural community hospitals, facilitating a comparative analysis of access disparities.

### **Data Collection:**

Data were collected through a combination of medical record reviews, patient surveys, and interviews. Medical records provided detailed information on patient demographics, surgical procedures, comorbidities, and postoperative outcomes. Surveys and interviews, conducted with both patients and healthcare providers, gathered qualitative data on perceived barriers to surgical care and the impact of socioeconomic and geographic factors on access to services.

### **Variables and Measures:**

#### **Key variables included:**

**Socioeconomic Status (SES):** SES was assessed using indicators such as income level, educational attainment, employment status, and insurance coverage. These data were obtained from patient records and supplemented with survey responses.

**Geographic Location:** Geographic data encompassed urban versus rural residency, distance to the nearest surgical facility, and availability of transportation. Geographic information was collected through patient addresses and GIS mapping.

**Access to Care:** Measures of access included wait times for surgical consultation, time from consultation to surgery, and frequency of missed appointments.

**Health Outcomes:** Postoperative outcomes were tracked, including complication rates, readmission rates, and patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs).

#### **Analytical Methods**

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics summarized demographic characteristics, SES, and geographic distribution. Inferential statistics, including chi-square tests and logistic regression models, were used to identify associations between SES, geographic factors, and access to surgical care. Multivariate analysis controlled for potential confounders to isolate the impact of SES and geography on health outcomes.





Qualitative data from surveys and interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis. Transcripts were coded to identify recurring themes related to barriers to surgical care and strategies for improving access. This analysis provided contextual insights that complemented the quantitative findings.

**Ethical Considerations:**

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of each participating hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all patients involved in surveys and interviews. Data confidentiality was maintained by de-identifying patient records and ensuring secure storage of all collected data.

**Strategies for Improved Equity and Outcomes:**

Based on the findings, the study identified several strategies to address disparities in access to general surgery:

**Telemedicine Expansion:** Increasing the use of telemedicine for preoperative consultations and postoperative follow-ups to reduce geographic barriers.

**Transportation Assistance:** Providing transportation vouchers or services for patients in rural areas to facilitate access to surgical care.

**Insurance Navigation Services:** Implementing programs to assist patients in navigating insurance options and obtaining coverage for surgical procedures.

**Community Outreach:** Enhancing community outreach and education programs to raise awareness about available surgical services and the importance of timely care.

**Financial Support Programs:** Establishing financial support initiatives for low-income patients to cover out-of-pocket expenses related to surgery.

**RESULTS:**

**Table 1: Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics of Study Population:**

Characteristic	Number of Patients (n=120)	Percentage (%)
Age Group		
18-30	20	16.7
31-50	40	33.3
51-70	35	29.2
>70	25	20.8
Gender		
Male	65	54.2
Female	55	45.8
Income Level		
Low (<\$30,000)	50	41.7
Middle (\$30,000-\$70,000)	45	37.5
High (>\$70,000)	25	20.8
Educational Level		
No High School Diploma	15	12.5
High School Graduate	40	33.3
Some College	30	25.0
College Graduate	25	20.8
Postgraduate	10	8.4





Urban vs. Rural		
Urban	80	66.7
Rural	40	33.3

This table provided an overview of the demographic and socioeconomic composition of the study population. The age distribution indicated that the majority of patients were between 31 and 50 years old (33.3%), followed by those aged 51-70 (29.2%). The gender distribution was slightly male-dominated at 54.2%, with females constituting 45.8%.

Income levels were stratified into three categories, revealing that the largest group fell into the low-income bracket (41.7%), highlighting a significant proportion of the study population potentially facing financial barriers to accessing surgical care. Educational attainment varied, with a significant number of patients having at least a high school diploma (33.3%) or some college education (25.0%), yet 12.5% had not completed high school.

The urban versus rural residence classification showed a predominance of urban dwellers (66.7%) compared to rural residents (33.3%). This distinction was critical in examining geographic disparities in access to general surgery services.

**Table 2: Access to General Surgery and Outcomes:**

Access and Outcome Measure	Urban (n=80)	Rural (n=40)	Total (n=120)
Average Wait Time (days)	14	28	19
Travel Distance to Facility (miles)	5	25	12
Surgery Success Rate (%)	92.5	87.5	90.8
Postoperative Complications (%)	10.0	15.0	11.7
Readmission Rate (%)	8.0	12.5	9.7
Patient Satisfaction Score (1-5)	4.5	3.8	4.3
Follow-Up Appointment Attendance (% of scheduled)	90.0	75.0	85.0

Table 2 contrasted access to general surgery and related outcomes between urban and rural patients. Urban patients experienced significantly shorter wait times for surgery (14 days on average) compared to rural patients (28 days), suggesting more prompt access to surgical care in urban areas.

Travel distance to the surgical facility was a stark difference, with urban patients traveling an average of 5 miles, while rural patients traveled 25 miles. This highlighted a considerable geographic barrier for rural patients.

Surgical outcomes showed high success rates across both groups, though urban patients had a slightly higher success rate (92.5% vs. 87.5%). Postoperative complications were more prevalent among rural patients (15.0%) compared to urban patients (10.0%).

Readmission rates were higher in the rural cohort (12.5% vs. 8.0%), indicating potential issues with follow-up care or postoperative recovery support in rural areas. Patient satisfaction was also higher among urban patients, with an average score of 4.5 compared to 3.8 for rural patients, reflecting better overall experiences in urban healthcare settings.





Attendance at follow-up appointments was significantly higher among urban patients (90.0%) compared to rural patients (75.0%), further underscoring the challenges rural patients faced in accessing continuous care.

### **DISCUSSION:**

In recent years, the evaluation of disparities in access to general surgery illuminated significant socioeconomic and geographic inequalities. These disparities, often interlinked with broader social determinants of health, demonstrated that individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds and those residing in rural or underserved areas faced substantial barriers to receiving timely and adequate surgical care [16].

#### **Socioeconomic Disparities:**

Socioeconomic status (SES) played a critical role in determining access to surgical services. Patients from lower SES backgrounds often encountered financial barriers that limited their ability to seek and receive surgical care [17]. This included lack of insurance coverage, high out-of-pocket costs, and loss of income due to time off work for recovery. Studies showed that individuals with lower incomes were less likely to undergo elective surgeries and more likely to present with advanced disease stages, which necessitated more complex and riskier procedures [18].

Additionally, education and health literacy significantly impacted patients' understanding of their surgical options and postoperative care, further exacerbating disparities [19]. Patients with lower education levels were less likely to be aware of the importance of early intervention and often delayed seeking medical attention until their conditions became critical. This delay not only increased the complexity of surgical cases but also negatively influenced overall outcomes [20].

#### **Geographic Disparities:**

Geographic location also profoundly affected access to general surgery. Rural areas and medically underserved regions often faced a shortage of healthcare providers, including surgeons [21]. This shortage resulted in longer wait times for surgery, increased travel distances to surgical facilities, and limited access to preoperative and postoperative care. The concentration of surgical services in urban centers left rural populations with fewer options and often necessitated travel that many patients could not afford [22]. The lack of infrastructure in rural areas, such as adequate transportation and specialized healthcare facilities, compounded these issues. Rural hospitals, when available, frequently lacked the resources to perform complex surgeries, necessitating transfers to larger, urban hospitals. This not only delayed treatment but also placed additional financial and emotional burdens on patients and their families [23].

#### **Strategies for Improved Equity and Outcomes:**

Addressing these disparities required a multifaceted approach focused on policy changes, healthcare system improvements, and community-based interventions.

#### **Policy Initiatives:**

Policymakers needed to prioritize funding for programs that increased access to healthcare in underserved areas. Expanding Medicaid and other insurance coverage options helped reduce financial barriers for low-income patients. Additionally, implementing policies that incentivized surgeons and healthcare providers to practice in rural and underserved regions proved crucial [24]. Loan forgiveness programs, grants, and other financial incentives encouraged medical professionals to serve in these high-need areas.

#### **Healthcare System Improvements:**





Healthcare systems had to adopt models that integrated care across different providers and facilities to ensure continuity and coordination. Telemedicine emerged as a valuable tool in bridging geographic gaps, allowing rural patients to consult with specialists and receive follow-up care without the need for extensive travel. Additionally, mobile surgical units and periodic surgical camps brought necessary services directly to underserved communities [25].

Investing in community health worker programs also played a significant role. These workers, often from the communities they served, provided education, navigation assistance, and support throughout the surgical process, improving patient outcomes and adherence to treatment plans.

#### **Community-Based Interventions:**

Community-based interventions focused on increasing health literacy and awareness about the importance of timely surgical care. Educational campaigns targeted at high-risk populations helped demystify the surgical process and encouraged early medical intervention. Collaborations with local organizations and leaders ensured that these messages resonated with and reached the intended audiences.

Efforts to build trust between healthcare providers and underserved communities were essential. Addressing historical and cultural mistrust through transparent communication and culturally competent care improved patient engagement and outcomes.

Tackling socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery required comprehensive strategies involving policy reform, healthcare system enhancements, and grassroots initiatives. By addressing these issues holistically, the goal of achieving equitable surgical care and improved outcomes for all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic or geographic background, became more attainable.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The evaluation of socioeconomic and geographic disparities in access to general surgery highlighted significant inequities affecting patient outcomes. The research identified that individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds and rural areas faced substantial barriers to receiving timely and effective surgical care. Strategies proposed for improving equity included expanding telemedicine services, increasing funding for rural healthcare infrastructure, and implementing targeted policies to attract and retain healthcare professionals in underserved areas. These measures, if effectively executed, were expected to enhance access to surgical services, thereby promoting more equitable health outcomes across diverse populations.

#### **REFERENCES:**

1. Wood KL, Haider SF, Bui A, Leitman IM. Access to common laparoscopic general surgical procedures: do racial disparities exist?. *Surgical Endoscopy*. 2020 Mar;34:1376-86.
2. Baxter NB, Howard JC, Chung KC. A systematic review of health disparities research in plastic surgery. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*. 2021 Mar 1;147(3):529-37.
3. Ortega G, Allar BG, Kaur MN, Edelen MO, Witt EE, Fayanju OM, Telem DA, Wagman LD, Sisodia RC, Powe NR, Pusic AL. Prioritizing health equity in patient-reported outcome measurement to improve surgical care. *Annals of Surgery*. 2022 Mar 1;275(3):488-91.
4. Diaz A, Hyer JM, Barmash E, Azap R, Paredes AZ, Pawlik TM. County-level social vulnerability is associated with worse surgical outcomes especially among minority patients. *Annals of surgery*. 2021 Dec 1;274(6):881-91.





5. Thobie A, Mulliri A, Bouvier V, Launoy G, Alves A, Dejardin O. Same chance of accessing resection? Impact of socioeconomic status on resection rates among patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma—a systematic review. *Health Equity*. 2021 Mar 1;5(1):143-50.
6. Thobie A, Mulliri A, Bouvier V, Launoy G, Alves A, Dejardin O. Same chance of accessing resection? Impact of socioeconomic status on resection rates among patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma—a systematic review. *Health Equity*. 2021 Mar 1;5(1):143-50.
7. Leech MM, Weiss JE, Markey C, Loehrer AP. Influence of race, insurance, rurality, and socioeconomic status on equity of lung and colorectal cancer care. *Annals of surgical oncology*. 2022 Jun;29(6):3630-9.
8. Diaz A, Schoenbrunner A, Pawlik TM. Trends in the geospatial distribution of inpatient adult surgical services across the United States. *Annals of surgery*. 2021 Jan 1;273(1):121-7.
9. Gilmore N, Grant SJ, Bethea TN, Schiaffino MK, Klepin HD, Dale W, Hardi A, Mandelblatt J, Mohile S, Cancer and Aging Research Group. A scoping review of racial, ethnic, socioeconomic, and geographic disparities in the outcomes of older adults with cancer. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2024 Apr 9.
10. Ahmad A, Tariq A, Hussain HK, Gill AY. Equity and artificial intelligence in surgical care: A comprehensive review of current challenges and promising solutions. *BULLET: Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu*. 2023 May 28;2(2):443-55.
11. Ebel NH, Lai JC, Bucuvalas JC, Wadhwani SI. A review of racial, socioeconomic, and geographic disparities in pediatric liver transplantation. *Liver Transplantation*. 2022 Sep 1;28(9):1520-8.
12. Park C, Jones MM, Kaplan S, Koller FL, Wilder JM, Boulware LE, McElroy LM. A scoping review of inequities in access to organ transplant in the United States. *International journal for equity in health*. 2022 Feb 12;21(1):22.
13. Lopez KN, Baker-Smith C, Flores G, Gurvitz M, Karamlou T, Nunez Gallegos F, Pasquali S, Patel A, Peterson JK, Salemi JL, Yancy C. Addressing social determinants of health and mitigating health disparities across the lifespan in congenital heart disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2022 Apr 19;11(8):e025358.
14. Munir MM, Woldeesenbet S, Endo Y, Moazzam Z, Lima HA, Azap L, Katayama E, Alaimo L, Shaikh C, Dillhoff M, Cloyd J. Disparities in socioeconomic factors mediate the impact of racial segregation among patients with hepatopancreaticobiliary cancer. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*. 2023 Aug;30(8):4826-35.
15. Hartnett DA, Brodeur PG, Kosinski LR, Cruz Jr AI, Gil JA, Cohen EM. Socioeconomic disparities in the utilization of total hip arthroplasty. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2022 Feb 1;37(2):213-8.
16. Alayande B, Chu KM, Jumbam DT, Kimto OE, Musa Danladi G, Niyukuri A, Anderson GA, El-Gabri D, Miranda E, Taye M, Tertong N. Disparities in access to trauma care in sub-Saharan Africa: a narrative review. *Current trauma reports*. 2022 Sep;8(3):66-94.
17. Li Z, Fan Z, Song Y, Chai Y. Assessing equity in park accessibility using a travel behavior-based G2SFCA method in Nanjing, China. *Journal of Transport Geography*. 2021 Oct 1;96:103179.







18. Patel MI, Lopez AM, Blackstock W, Reeder-Hayes K, Moushey EA, Phillips J, Tap W. Cancer disparities and health equity: a policy statement from the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2020 Oct 10;38(29):3439-48.
19. Wahid NA, Rosenblatt R, Brown Jr RS. A review of the current state of liver transplantation disparities. *Liver Transplantation*. 2021 Mar;27(3):434-43.
20. Solomon SD, Shoge RY, Ervin AM, Contreras M, Harewood J, Aguwa UT, Olivier MM. Improving access to eye care: a systematic review of the literature. *Ophthalmology*. 2022 Oct 1;129(10):e114-26.
21. Cao WR, Shakya P, Karmacharya B, Xu DR, Hao YT, Lai YS. Equity of geographical access to public health facilities in Nepal. *BMJ global health*. 2021 Oct 1;6(10):e006786.
22. Ghiasvand H, Mohamadi E, Olyaeemanesh A, Kiani MM, Armoon B, Takian A. Health equity in Iran: A systematic review. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*. 2021;35:51.
23. Byrd JN, Chung KC. Evaluation of the merit-based incentive payment system and surgeons caring for patients at high social risk. *JAMA surgery*. 2021 Nov 1;156(11):1018-24.
24. Krishnan A, Jacobs MB, Morris SA, Peyvandi S, Bhat AH, Chelliah A, Chiu JS, Cuneo BF, Freire G, Hornberger LK, Howley L. Impact of socioeconomic status, race and ethnicity, and geography on prenatal detection of hypoplastic left heart syndrome and transposition of the great arteries. *Circulation*. 2021 May 25;143(21):2049-60.
25. Thirukumaran CP, Cai X, Glance LG, Kim Y, Ricciardi BF, Fiscella KA, Li Y. Geographic variation and disparities in total joint replacement use for Medicare beneficiaries: 2009 to 2017. *JBJS*. 2020 Dec 16;102(24):2120-8.

