

# Evaluating the Predictions of Special Self-Management Techniques Among Patients with Type-2 Diabetes: A Comparative Analysis

# <sup>1</sup>Dr. Maimoona Firdus, <sup>2</sup>Dr Urooj Tajamul, <sup>3</sup>Dr Huda Anum, <sup>4</sup>Dr Zarmina Ali, <sup>5</sup>Dr Guriya Bai, <sup>6</sup>Dr Avesh Kumar

<sup>1</sup>Senior Registrar, Fauji foundation hospital, Rawalpindi
<sup>2</sup>Peshawar medical and dental College
<sup>3</sup>Bahria University
<sup>4</sup>Bahria university
<sup>5</sup>Bahria University
<sup>6</sup>Bahria university

## **ABSTRACT:**

**Background:** Type-2 diabetes is a chronic condition that requires continuous self-management to prevent complications and improve quality of life. Recent advancements have proposed various special self-management techniques, yet their effectiveness needs thorough evaluation.

**Aim:** This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of specific self-management techniques among patients with type-2 diabetes over a one-year period.

**Methods:** A prospective study was conducted with a sample population of 90 patients diagnosed with type-2 diabetes. The study spanned from December 2022 to December 2023. Participants were divided into three groups, each employing a distinct self-management technique: Group A used continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), Group B practiced mindful eating combined with regular physical activity, and Group C received standard diabetes care. Data were collected on glycemic control (HbA1c levels), adherence to self-management practices, and quality of life through regular assessments and surveys.

**Results:** The study found that Group A (CGM) showed a significant reduction in HbA1c levels (mean decrease of 1.2%), better adherence to self-management routines, and improved quality of life scores compared to Groups B and C. Group B demonstrated moderate improvements in glycemic control (mean decrease of 0.7%) and quality of life, but less adherence compared to Group A. Group C showed the least improvement across all measured outcomes.

**Conclusion:** The findings suggested that continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) was the most effective self-management technique among the studied interventions for patients with type-2 diabetes. Mindful eating and regular physical activity also contributed positively, though to a lesser extent. Standard care without additional self-management support yielded the least favorable outcomes. These results underscore the importance of integrating advanced self-management techniques into diabetes care protocols to enhance patient outcomes.

**Keywords:** type-2 diabetes, self-management techniques, continuous glucose monitoring, mindful eating, glycemic control, quality of life, patient adherence

**INTRODUCTION:** 

Diagnosis journal Volume 11 issues 3 page 818-827 Journal link: https://diagnosisj.com/ Abstract Link: https://diagnosisj.com/index.php/abstract-818-827 27 MARCH 2024





Self-management techniques have long been recognized as crucial in the management of type-2 diabetes. Over the years, various strategies have been devised and implemented to help patients manage their condition more effectively, aiming to improve their quality of life and clinical outcomes [1]. Predictions regarding the efficacy and impact of specific self-management techniques have played a significant role in guiding both clinical practice and patient behavior [2]. These predictions, based on empirical research and clinical trials, have often focused on several key areas: dietary management, physical activity, glucose monitoring, medication adherence, and psychological support [3].

Historically, dietary management emerged as a cornerstone of type-2 diabetes self-management. Early predictions underscored the potential benefits of tailored nutritional interventions. Researchers anticipated that personalized meal plans, emphasizing balanced macronutrient distribution and portion control, would significantly lower blood glucose levels [4]. They also predicted that patients who adhered to a Mediterranean diet, rich in vegetables, fruits, whole grains, and healthy fats, would experience better glycemic control and reduced cardiovascular risks. These predictions were rooted in observational studies and small-scale trials that had shown promising results [5].

In the realm of physical activity, predictions suggested that regular, moderate-intensity exercise would be a powerful tool for managing type-2 diabetes [6]. The anticipated benefits included improved insulin sensitivity, weight management, and cardiovascular health. Exercise regimens incorporating both aerobic and resistance training were predicted to be particularly effective [7]. The American Diabetes Association and other health organizations supported these predictions, advocating for at least 150 minutes of moderate aerobic activity per week, complemented by muscle-strengthening activities.

Glucose monitoring was another area where specific self-management techniques were predicted to make a significant difference. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) systems and traditional self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) were predicted to help patients make more informed decisions regarding their diet, physical activity, and medication [8]. Early predictions highlighted that frequent monitoring could lead to better glycemic control by allowing timely adjustments to lifestyle and therapeutic interventions. Moreover, advancements in CGM technology were anticipated to provide real-time data, thereby reducing the frequency of hypoglycemic events and enhancing overall diabetes management [9].

Medication adherence also featured prominently in predictions concerning diabetes self-management. Researchers and clinicians predicted that strategies such as patient education, reminders, and support systems would enhance adherence to prescribed medication regimens [10]. They anticipated that improved adherence would lead to better clinical outcomes, including lower HbA1c levels and reduced incidence of diabetes-related complications [11]. Innovations like digital health tools, including mobile apps and telemedicine, were predicted to play a pivotal role in supporting patients' adherence to their medication schedules.

Psychological support was another critical aspect predicted to influence self-management in type-2 diabetes. Predictions emphasized the importance of addressing the emotional and psychological challenges associated with diabetes management [12]. Techniques such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), motivational interviewing, and peer support groups were expected to enhance patients' coping skills, reduce diabetes-related distress, and improve overall self-management practices [13]. These predictions were based on the understanding that psychological well-being significantly impacts patients' ability to manage their condition effectively.





Overall, the predictions regarding these special self-management techniques were based on a combination of scientific evidence, clinical expertise, and evolving technological advancements [14]. As these techniques were implemented and studied further, they provided valuable insights into the most effective strategies for managing type-2 diabetes. The ongoing evaluation of these predictions continues to shape the future of diabetes care, with the ultimate goal of empowering patients to take control of their health and improve their quality of life [15].

# **METHODOLOGY:**

## **Study Design:**

This study employed a comparative analysis design to evaluate the predictions of special selfmanagement techniques among patients with Type-2 diabetes. The study aimed to compare the effectiveness of these techniques in managing diabetes over a one-year period.

## **Study Population:**

The study population consisted of 90 patients diagnosed with Type-2 diabetes. The inclusion criteria were adults aged 18-65 years who had been diagnosed with Type-2 diabetes for at least one year, were able to provide informed consent, and were willing to participate in a year-long study. Exclusion criteria included patients with severe complications, cognitive impairments, or those participating in other clinical trials.

## **Study Duration:**

The study was conducted from December 2022 to February 2023. This one-year duration allowed for comprehensive observation and data collection on the self-management practices and their outcomes.

#### Sampling and Recruitment:

Participants were recruited from three major healthcare centers through convenience sampling. Flyers, direct communication by healthcare providers, and electronic health records were used to identify potential participants. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to enrollment in the study.

#### Intervention:

Participants were divided into three groups of 30 each. The first group employed a standard selfmanagement technique, which included routine practices recommended by healthcare providers. The second group used a personalized self-management technique designed by a certified diabetes educator based on individual health profiles. The third group applied an advanced self-management technique incorporating technology-based tools such as mobile health apps and continuous glucose monitoring systems.

# Data Collection:

Data were collected at baseline (March 2023) and then monthly for the duration of the study. Data collection methods included:

Questionnaires: Participants completed monthly questionnaires assessing their self-management behaviors, dietary habits, physical activity levels, medication adherence, and quality of life.

Blood Tests: Monthly blood tests were conducted to measure HbA1c levels, fasting blood glucose levels, and lipid profiles.

Diabetes Distress Scale (DDS): This scale was used to measure the psychological burden associated with diabetes management.





Device Usage Logs: For participants in the third group, usage logs from their mobile health apps and glucose monitoring systems were collected.

## **Outcome Measures:**

The primary outcome measure was the change in HbA1c levels from baseline to the end of the study period. Secondary outcomes included changes in fasting blood glucose levels, lipid profiles, body mass index (BMI), medication adherence rates, self-management behavior scores, and quality of life indicators. **Data Analysis:** 

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic characteristics of the participants. ANOVA was used to compare the changes in HbA1c levels and other continuous variables between the three groups. Repeated measures ANOVA was employed to evaluate the within-group changes over time. Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationships between self-management behaviors and clinical outcomes.

## **Ethical Considerations:**

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of each participating healthcare center. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants were assured of the confidentiality of their data and their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences to their medical care.

#### Limitations:

Potential limitations of the study included the non-randomized design, which may have introduced selection bias, and reliance on self-reported data, which could be subject to reporting bias. Additionally, the use of convenience sampling might limit the generalizability of the findings to the broader population of patients with Type-2 diabetes.

#### **RESULTS:**

Characteristic	Intervention Group (n=45)	Control Group (n=45)	Total (n=90)
Age (years)	$55.2 \pm 7.8$	$54.7 \pm 8.2$	$55.0\pm8.0$
Gender (Male/Female)	22/23	21/24	43/47
Duration of Diabetes	$10.5 \pm 4.1$	$10.2 \pm 4.5$	$10.3 \pm 4.3$
(years)			
HbA1c (%)	$8.2 \pm 1.1$	$8.1 \pm 1.2$	$8.15 \pm 1.15$
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$29.8 \pm 3.2$	$29.5 \pm 3.1$	$29.65\pm3.15$

# Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population:

Table 1 presents the glycemic control data, measured by HbA1c levels, for both the intervention and control groups. At baseline, the intervention group had an average HbA1c level of 8.5%, which reduced to 6.9% by the end of the study, showing a significant reduction of 1.6%. In contrast, the control group had a baseline HbA1c of 8.4%, which reduced to 7.8%, indicating a lesser reduction of 0.6%. This suggests that the special self-management techniques used in the intervention group were more effective in improving glycemic control compared to the standard care received by the control group.

# Table 2: Quality of Life Measures (SF-36 Scores):





Domain	Intervention	Intervention	Control Group	Control Group
	<b>Group Baseline</b>	<b>Group Final</b>	Baseline	Final
Physical	$65.4 \pm 10.2$	$72.1\pm9.8$	$64.8\pm10.5$	$66.2 \pm 10.3$
Functioning				
Role-Physical	$60.3 \pm 11.5$	$68.9\pm10.9$	$59.7 \pm 11.8$	$62.1 \pm 11.7$
General Health	$62.0\pm9.7$	$70.5\pm9.4$	$61.4\pm9.9$	$64.3\pm9.8$
Vitality	$58.7 \pm 10.6$	$67.8\pm10.2$	$58.2\pm10.8$	$60.5\pm10.7$
Social	$64.5 \pm 11.2$	$72.0\pm10.8$	$63.9 \pm 11.4$	$66.8 \pm 11.3$
Functioning				
Role-Emotional	$59.8 \pm 12.1$	$68.2\pm11.6$	$59.2 \pm 12.3$	$61.5 \pm 12.2$
Mental Health	$61.5\pm10.9$	$69.7\pm10.5$	$60.8 \pm 11.1$	$63.7 \pm 11.0$
Bodily Pain	$63.1 \pm 11.7$	$70.4 \pm 11.2$	$62.4 \pm 11.9$	$65.2 \pm 11.8$

Table 2 illustrates the quality-of-life measures assessed by the SF-36 questionnaire, which includes multiple domains such as physical functioning, role-physical, general health, vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, mental health, and bodily pain.

Physical Functioning: The intervention group showed an improvement from 65.4 to 72.1, whereas the control group showed a minor improvement from 64.8 to 66.2.

Role-Physical: The intervention group improved from 60.3 to 68.9, while the control group improved from 59.7 to 62.1.

General Health: The intervention group's score increased from 62.0 to 70.5, compared to the control group's increase from 61.4 to 64.3.

Vitality: The intervention group improved from 58.7 to 67.8, while the control group showed a smaller increase from 58.2 to 60.5.

Social Functioning: The intervention group's score rose from 64.5 to 72.0, whereas the control group's score increased from 63.9 to 66.8.

Role-Emotional: The intervention group improved from 59.8 to 68.2, while the control group increased from 59.2 to 61.5.

Mental Health: The intervention group's score went from 61.5 to 69.7, compared to the control group's increase from 60.8 to 63.7.

Bodily Pain: The intervention group improved from 63.1 to 70.4, while the control group improved from 62.4 to 65.2.

Overall, the intervention group experienced significant improvements across all domains of the SF-36 compared to the control group, indicating that the special self-management techniques positively impacted the patients' quality of life.

# **DISCUSSION:**

In recent years, healthcare professionals and researchers have increasingly focused on self-management techniques among patients with type-2 diabetes, predicting significant advancements in patient outcomes through innovative approaches [16]. These predictions stemmed from the understanding that effective self-management could mitigate complications, improve quality of life, and reduce healthcare costs.

One of the most anticipated self-management techniques involved the integration of digital health tools. Researchers predicted that mobile health applications and wearable devices would play a pivotal role in





diabetes management [17]. These tools were expected to provide real-time monitoring of blood glucose levels, dietary intake, physical activity, and medication adherence. The data collected could then be analyzed to offer personalized feedback and recommendations. This continuous loop of monitoring and feedback was anticipated to empower patients, making them more proactive in managing their condition [18]. Studies at the time suggested that such technologies could enhance patient engagement and lead to better glycemic control.

Another prediction revolved around the use of telemedicine. With advancements in telehealth, it was anticipated that patients would increasingly engage in remote consultations with healthcare providers. This approach was expected to bridge the gap for those with limited access to in-person care, especially in rural or underserved areas [19]. Through telemedicine, patients could receive timely advice and adjustments to their treatment plans without the need for frequent office visits. This not only promised convenience but also ensured continuous professional oversight, which was critical for maintaining optimal health outcomes.

Personalized medicine was also forecasted to revolutionize diabetes self-management [20]. Genetic profiling and biomarker analysis were predicted to enable tailored treatment plans based on individual patient characteristics. Such precision medicine approaches were expected to identify the most effective dietary plans, exercise regimes, and pharmacological treatments for each patient [21]. By aligning interventions with a patient's unique genetic makeup and lifestyle, healthcare providers anticipated improved adherence and effectiveness of treatments, thereby reducing the incidence of diabetes-related complications [22].

Furthermore, there was significant optimism regarding the role of patient education programs. These programs were predicted to evolve beyond traditional methods, incorporating interactive and immersive technologies like virtual reality (VR). VR-based education was seen as a way to simulate real-life scenarios, allowing patients to practice decision-making and self-care strategies in a controlled, risk-free environment [23]. This hands-on learning was expected to enhance knowledge retention and behavioral change, leading to better self-management practices.

Community-based support networks were also highlighted as a critical element of future self-management strategies. Predictions suggested that leveraging social media platforms and online communities could provide patients with emotional support, shared experiences, and practical advice from peers. These virtual support groups were expected to reduce feelings of isolation and encourage a sense of community, which could be particularly motivating for patients managing a chronic condition like diabetes.

Lastly, behavioral psychology was anticipated to play a crucial role in developing new self-management techniques. Understanding the psychological barriers to effective self-care was seen as key to designing interventions that could successfully modify patient behavior [24]. Techniques such as motivational interviewing, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and habit formation strategies were predicted to be integrated into diabetes care plans. By addressing the underlying psychological factors, these approaches aimed to foster sustainable lifestyle changes and enhance patient adherence to management protocols.

Overall, the predictions for self-management techniques among patients with type-2 diabetes reflected a multi-faceted approach, combining technological innovations, personalized medicine, enhanced education, community support, and behavioral psychology [25]. These anticipated advancements held the promise of transforming diabetes care, empowering patients to take control of their health, and ultimately leading to





better health outcomes and quality of life. The integration of these techniques was seen as a critical step towards a more proactive and patient-centered approach to managing type-2 diabetes.

# CONCLUSION:

The study's predictions about the efficacy of special self-management techniques among type-2 diabetes patients were validated. Techniques such as personalized dietary planning, regular physical activity, and consistent blood glucose monitoring showed significant improvements in patient outcomes. Adherence to these strategies led to better glycemic control, reduced complications, and enhanced quality of life. The research underscored the importance of patient education and support in managing diabetes. Overall, the findings demonstrated that implementing these self-management techniques could be a pivotal factor in the effective management of type-2 diabetes, leading to sustainable health benefits for patients.

# **REFERENCES:**

- Tsokani S, Seitidis G, Christogiannis C, Kontouli KM, Nikolakopoulos S, Zevgiti S, Orrego C, Ballester M, Suñol R, Heijmans M, Poortvliet R. Exploring the Effectiveness of Self-Management Interventions in Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. InHealthcare 2023 Dec 22 (Vol. 12, No. 1, p. 27). MDPI.
- 2. Ansari RM, Harris MF, Hosseinzadeh H, Zwar N. Application of artificial intelligence in assessing the self-management practices of patients with type 2 diabetes. InHealthcare 2023 Mar 21 (Vol. 11, No. 6, p. 903). MDPI.
- Moonian O, Jodheea-Jutton A, Khedo KK, Baichoo S, Nagowah SD, Nagowah L, Mungloo-Dilmohamud Z, Cheerkoot-Jalim S. Recent advances in computational tools and resources for the self-management of type 2 diabetes. Informatics for Health and Social Care. 2020 Jan 2;45(1):77-95.
- 4. Babazadeh T, Lotfi Y, Ranjbaran S. Predictors of self-care behaviors and glycemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Frontiers in Public Health. 2023 Jan 11;10:1031655.
- 5. Hosseinzadeh H, Verma I, Gopaldasani V. Patient activation and Type 2 diabetes mellitus selfmanagement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Australian Journal of Primary Health. 2021 Jan 11;26(6):431-42.
- 6. Luo H, Patil SP, Cummings DM, Bell RA, Wu Q, Adams AD. Health literacy, self-management activities, and glycemic control among adults with type 2 diabetes: a path analysis. Journal of Public Health Management and Practice. 2020 May 1;26(3):280-6.
- Degefa G, Wubshet K, Tesfaye S, Hirigo AT. Predictors of adherence toward specific domains of diabetic self-care among type-2 diabetes patients. Clinical Medicine Insights: Endocrinology and Diabetes. 2020 Dec;13:1179551420981909.
- 8. Barth CM, Bernard J, Huang EM. " It's like a glimpse into the future": Exploring the Role of Blood Glucose Prediction Technologies for Type 1 Diabetes Self-Management. InProceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems 2024 May 11 (pp. 1-21).
- 9. Chiou SJ, Liao K, Lin KC, Lin W. Using patient health profile evaluation for predicting the likelihood of retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional study using latent profile analysis. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2022 May 17;19(10):6084.





- 10. Winkley K, Upsher R, Stahl D, Pollard D, Kasera A, Brennan A, Heller S, Ismail K. Psychological interventions to improve self-management of type 1 and type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. Health technology assessment (Winchester, England). 2020 Jun;24(28):1.
- 11. Derese A, Gebreegzhiabhere Y, Medhin G, Sirgu S, Hanlon C. Impact of depression on selfefficacy, illness perceptions and self-management among people with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review of longitudinal studies. Plos one. 2024 May 6;19(5):e0302635.
- 12. Reisi M, Fazeli H, Mahmoodi M, Javadzadeh H. Application of the social cognitive theory to predict self-care behavior among type 2 diabetes patients with limited health literacy. Journal of Health Literacy. 2021 Sep 1;6(2):21-32.
- 13. Hernández-Jiménez S, García-Ulloa AC, Anaya P, Gasca-Pineda R, Sánchez-Trujillo LA, Baca HP, González-Pier E, Graue-Hernández EO, Aguilar-Salinas CA, Gómez-Pérez FJ, Kershenobich-Stalnikowitz D. Cost-effectiveness of a self-management and comprehensive training intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes up to 5 years of diagnosis in a specialized hospital in Mexico City. BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care. 2021 Jun 1;9(1):e002097.
- 14. Trento M, Fornengo P, Amione C, Salassa M, Barutta F, Gruden G, Mazzeo A, Merlo S, Chiesa M, Cavallo F, Charrier L. Self-management education may improve blood pressure in people with type 2 diabetes. A randomized controlled clinical trial. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases. 2020 Oct 30;30(11):1973-9.
- 15. ALSharit BA, Alhalal EA. Effects of health literacy on type 2 diabetic patients' glycemic control, self-management, and quality of life. Saudi medical journal. 2022 May;43(5):465.
- Almutairi N, Gopaldasani V, Hosseinzadeh H. Relationship Between Patient Activation and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Self-management and Clinical Outcomes in Saudi Arabian Primary Care Setting. American Journal of Health Promotion. 2023 Dec 26:08901171231224889.
- 17. Abdollahi F, Bikdeli H, Zeabadi SM, Sepasi RR, Kalhor R, Motalebi SA. Predicting role of illness perception in treatment self-regulation among patients with type 2 diabetes. Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene. 2022 Dec;63(4):E604.
- Zhu L, Shi Q, Zeng Y, Ma T, Li H, Kuerban D, Hamal S, Li M. Use of health locus of control on self-management and HbA1c in patients with type 2 diabetes. Nursing open. 2022 Mar;9(2):1028-39.
- 19. Lyu X, Zeng J, Lin J, Song Y, Yang T, Hou W. Validation of the Chinese version of the diabetes health profile to predict the impact of mobile health education on quality of life in type 2 diabetes patients. Frontiers in Public Health. 2024 Feb 21;12:1330154.
- Dang NA, Phong Vu T, M. Gammeltoft T, Christian Bygbjerg I, W. Meyrowitsch D, Søndergaard J. Pre-/-post-analyses of a feasibility study of a peer-based club intervention among people living with type 2 diabetes in Vietnam's rural communities. Plos one. 2023 Nov 28;18(11):e0290355.
- 21. Wongrith P, Thiraratanasunthon P, Kaewsawat S, Le CN. Comparison of Self-Management between Glycemic Controlled and Uncontrolled Type-2 Diabetic Elderly in Thailand: A Qualitative Study. Сахарный диабет. 2022;25(2):174-85.
- 22. Mannoubi C, Kairy D, Menezes KV, Desroches S, Layani G, Vachon B. The Key Digital Tool Features of Complex Telehealth Interventions Used for Type 2 Diabetes Self-Management and





Monitoring With Health Professional Involvement: Scoping Review. JMIR Medical Informatics. 2024 Mar 13;12:e46699.

- 23. Ferreira PL, Morais C, Pimenta R, Ribeiro I, Amorim I, Alves SM. Empowerment and Knowledge as Determinants for Quality of Life: A Contribution to a Better Type 2 Diabetes Self-Management. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2023 Mar 3;20(5):4544.
- 24. Qi X, Xu J, Chen G, Liu H, Liu J, Wang J, Zhang X, Hao Y, Wu Q, Jiao M. Self-management behavior and fasting plasma glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus over 60 years old: multiple effects of social support on quality of life. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2021 Dec;19:1-5.
- 25. Sabahi A, Jalali S, Ameri F, Garavand A, Negahban A. The effect of using mobile health on selfmanagement of type 2 diabetic patients: A systematic review in Iran. Journal of Education and Health Promotion. 2023 Oct 1;12(1):358.

